

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

					1-00	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		OR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.		
09/507,466	02/22/00	BROWN		D	M3477.0000/P	
		MM91/0924		EXAMINER		
Mark J Thro	nson	PHY 217 0 224		NGHYEN.	Т	
Dickstein shapiro Morin & Oshinsky LLP				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
2101 L Stre	et N W					
Washington DC 20037-1526				2872		
				DATE MAILED:		
					09/24/01	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

		Applicati n	N .	Applicant(s)					
		09/507,466		BROWN, DAVID R.					
Offic Ac	tion Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		Thong Q Ngu	ıyen	2872					
Peri df r Reply	DATE of this communication ap				idress				
THE MAILING DATE - Extensions of time may be after SIX (6) MONTHS from the second for reply species of the second for reply species of the second for reply second for reply second for reply second for reply within the second for reply within the second for reply received by the second for	ATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. In the mailing date of this communication. Ified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repectified above, the maximum statutory period set or extended period for reply will, by statut office later than three months after the mailinent. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, ply within the statutor d will apply and will ex te, cause the applica	however, may a reply be tim y minimum of thirty (30) days pire SIX (6) MONTHS from to ion to become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered time the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. communication.				
1) Responsive to	o communication(s) filed on <u>01</u>	<u> August 2001</u> .							
2a) This action is	FINAL. 2b) □ T	This action is no	n-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims									
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,6</u>	6-10 and 12-18 is/are pending i	in the application	on.						
4a) Of the abov	ve claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consi	deration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12-18</u>	is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4 a</u>	nd 6-10 is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s)	_ is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s)	_ are subject to restriction and/	or election req	uirement.						
Application Papers									
9) The specification	on is objected to by the Examin	ner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
	Irawing correction filed on			ved by the Examir	ner.				
	orrected drawings are required in re		e action.						
	claration is objected to by the E	Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C									
	ent is made of a claim for foreig	gn priority unde	er 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).					
	ome * c) None of:								
(<u> </u>	l copies of the priority documer								
<u> </u>	l copies of the priority documer								
appl	of the certified copies of the pri- ication from the International B d detailed Office action for a lis	Bureau (PCT Ru	ıle 17.2(a)).		Stage				
	nt is made of a claim for domes		·		al application).				
•	ation of the foreign language p nt is made of a claim for domes								
Attachment(s)		• •	00 ==						
	ted (PTO-892) : Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4) 5) 6)		(PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (PT					
0.0									

Art Unit: 2872

DETAILED ACTION

Respons to Am ndm nt

1. The present Office action is made in response to the Amendment (Paper No. 6) filed by applicant on 08/01/2001.

Specification

2. The substitute specification filed by applicant on 08/01/2001 has been received and entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 4. Claims 1-2, 4, and 7-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sugawara (U.S. Patent No. 4,946,252) in view of Hed (U.S. Patent No. 5,247,390).

Sugawara discloses an optical diffusing element for use in an optical device. The optical element comprises a glass substrate having an entrance surface and an emitting surface. The entrance surface comprises a series of composite lenses (22) wherein each composite lens (22) comprises two optical elements arranged adjacent to each other wherein the first optical element (24) has a concave shape and a second optical element (26) has a convex shape. Each of the composite lens has a generally wedged shape and has a dimension in the range of micrometers. See columns 5-7 and figs. 1A and 1B, for example. While Sugawara does not clearly state that the output surfaces of the optical elements

Art Unit: 2872

are planar; however, the use of an optical element having a series of convexoconcave elements formed on an entrance surface and a planar output surface is
clearly suggested to one skilled in the art as can be seen in the optical device
provided by Hed. In particular, Hed teaches the use of an optical diffusing
element in an optical device having a light source wherein the optical element
comprises at least one diffusing element having a diffusing pattern formed on an
entrance surface and a planar surface. See columns 6-8 and figs. 7-8, for
example. Thus, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time
the invention was made to modify the device having an optical diffusing element
as provided by Sugawara by using an optical diffusing element having a planar
output surface as suggested by Hed for the purpose of controlling the direction of
diffused light.

5. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sugawara in view of Hed.

The combined product as described in the paragraph 4) above meets all of the limitations of the device as claimed in claim 3 except the feature that the optical elements are reflective. However, such a feature is merely that of a preferred embodiment and no criticality has been disclosed. The support for this conclusion if found in the present specification in which applicant has taught that the optical elements are transmissive. Such use of a transmissive material for the optical elements is indeed claimed as can be seen in the present claims 2 and 10. Thus, absent any showing of criticality, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the

Art Unit: 2872

art at the time the invention was made to utilize a reflection coating in combination with the diffusing element for the purpose of providing a system having diffusing feature via reflection.

6. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sugawara in view of Hed as applied to claim 1 above and further in view of Hoch et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,002,520).

The optical device as provided by Sugawara and Hed as described above meets all of the device as claimed in present claim 6 except the feature of a lens for performing a Fourier transform operation. However, the use of a diffusing element in a system having a light source, a lens and a diffusing element is clearly disclosed in the art as can be seen in the optical system provided by Hoch et al. See columns 2-5 and figs. 1-4. Thus, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize/apply the diffusing device provided by Sugawara and Hed in an optical system having a lens disposed in front of the diffusing device as suggested by Hoch et al so that the lens will perform a Fourier transform operation of the light before it enters the diffusing element.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 12-16 are allowed over the cited art.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to the rejected claims 1-11 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 2872

Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thong Q Nguyen whose telephone number is 703 308 4814. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cassandra Spyrou can be reached on 703 308 1687. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703 308 7724 for regular communications and 703 308 7724 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 2872

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308 0956.

Thong Q Nguyen Primary Examiner Art Unit 2872

September 20, 2001